Introduction to topological insulators

Jennifer Cano





Adapted from Charlie Kane's Windsor Lectures: <u>http://www.physics.upenn.edu/~kane/</u> Review article: Hasan & Kane Rev. Mod. Phys. 2010

What is an insulator?

No low-energy excitations



Electrical resistor

Not all insulators are the same: quantum Hall effect

Electrons in a strong magnetic field



Quantum Hall devices are not insulating!!



Topological invariants do not change under smooth deformations

Example 1: genus



Example 2: Hall conductivity

$$\sigma_{xy} = Ne^2/h$$



Surface spectrum explains Hall conductivity

"Bulk-edge correspondence"



Hall conductivity from bulk eigenstates: TKNN invariant (Thouless, Kohmoto, Nightingale, den Nijs PRL 1982)

$$\sigma_{\rm H} = \frac{ie^2}{2\pi h} \sum \int d^2k \int d^2r \left(\frac{\partial u^*}{\partial k_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial k_2} - \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial k_2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial k_1}\right)$$

Energy eigenstates in a crystal are Bloch wavefunctions



Translation symmetry:

Bloch's theorem:

$$T(\mathbf{R})|\psi\rangle = e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}}|\psi\rangle$$
$$|\psi\rangle = e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}|u(\mathbf{k})\rangle$$

Brillouin zone contains distinct ${\bf k}$



Eigenvalues of Hamiltonian form band structure

$$H(\mathbf{k})|u_n(\mathbf{k})\rangle = E_n(\mathbf{k})|u_n(\mathbf{k})\rangle$$



Two band structures are topologically equivalent if they can be deformed into each other without closing energy gap

Berry phase

 $\mathbf{A} = -i\langle u(\mathbf{k}) | \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} | u(\mathbf{k}) \rangle$ Berry connection: $\gamma_C = \oint_C \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{k}$ Berry phase:

Wave function phase ambiguity \Rightarrow Berry phase defined mod 2π :

 $|u(\mathbf{k})\rangle \rightarrow e^{i\phi(\mathbf{k})}|u(\mathbf{k})\rangle \qquad \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A} + \nabla_{\mathbf{k}}\phi(\mathbf{k})$

$$\gamma_C \to \gamma_C + \oint_C \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} \phi(\mathbf{k}) \cdot d\mathbf{k}$$
$$2\pi n$$

Berry curvature: $\mathbf{F} =
abla_{\mathbf{k}} imes \mathbf{A}$ gauge invariant!

Zak phase: Berry phase around Brillouin zone



Differences in polarization are well-defined:

$$\Delta P = \int \partial_t P(t) dt = \frac{e}{2\pi} \iint dt dk \, \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$$

"Modern theory of polarization"

King-Smith & Vanderbilt PRB (1993) Resta Ferroelectrics 136, 51 (1992)

Berry phase in 1d: SSH model

(Su, Schrieffer, Heeger PRL 1979)



Interpret difference in Berry phase as difference in polarization!!



Thouless charge pump

(Thouless PRB 1983)



Integer charge pumped in one full cycle is a topological invariant:

$$\Delta P = \int_0^T \partial_t P(t) dt = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^T dt \int_0^{2\pi} dk \nabla \times \mathbf{A}\right) e = ne$$

"Chern number"

Chern number is an integer topological invariant characterizing Bloch wavefunctions of two variables



Chern number = winding Berry phase

$$\frac{2\pi}{e}\Delta P = \int_0^T \partial_t \gamma(t)dt = \gamma(T) - \gamma(0) = 2\pi n$$



Practical way to compute Chern number

Integer quantum Hall: Laughlin flux argument



Comparison to TKNN invariant:

$$n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2 k \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$$



Chern number with t $\rightarrow k_y$

Chern number \Rightarrow surface state

Topological invariant: TKNN or Chern number



Bulk-edge

correspondence

$$n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2 k \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \qquad \mathbf{k}$$



If x-axis is time: 1d Thouless pump If x-axis is k: Laughlin argument



Surface diagnosis

Bulk-edge correspondence

Surface states are required but dispersion is determined by microscopics



 n_{+} = # bands with positive slope that cross E_{F} n_{-} = # bands with negative slope that cross E_{F}

Chern number = $n_+ - n_-$

Time-reversal symmetry

$$\Theta^{-1}H(\mathbf{k})\Theta = H(-\mathbf{k}) \qquad \Theta^2 = -\mathbb{I}$$

Degenerate Kramer's pairs at "Time-reversal-invariant-momenta" (TRIM) k=0, k= π



"Z₂" topological invariant v: even vs odd bands cross E_F over *half* the BZ

Fermion parity pump

Bulk Z₂ invariant

Kane & Mele PRL 95, 146802 (2005)



Gauge invariant but must choose continuous gauge

In practice, difficult to compute

Practical calculation of Z₂ invariant: winding Berry phase



Yu, Qi, Bernevig, Fang, Dai PRB 84, 075119 (2011) Soluyanov and Vanderbilt Phys. Rev. B 83, 235401 (2011)

Berry phase imitates surface spectrum (proof: Fidkowski, Jackson, Klich PRL 2011)

Z2Pack software package: Gresch, et al, PRB 95, 075146 (2017)

Easier computation of Z₂ invariant with symmetry

Spin conservation: "quantum spin Hall effect"



Each spin has Chern number: $n_{\uparrow} = -n_{\downarrow}$ $v = n_{\uparrow} \mod 2$

Kane & Mele PRL 95, 146802 (2005), Bernevig & Zhang PRL 96, 106802 (2006) **Inversion symmetry**

Z₂ invariant given by product of inversion eigenvalues of occupied bands:

$$(-1)^{\nu} = \prod_{\Lambda_a \in \mathrm{TRIM}} \prod_i \xi_{2i}(\Lambda_a)$$

Fu & Kane PRB 76, 045302 (2007)

Quantum spin Hall effect in graphene with spin orbit coupling



 $\mathcal{H}_0 = -i\hbar v_F \psi^{\dagger} (\sigma_x \tau_z \partial_x + \sigma_y \partial_y) \psi$ $\sigma_z = \text{sublattice} \quad \tau_z = \text{valley} \quad s_z = \text{spin}$

Three ways to open energy gap:



1. Sublattice potential (hBN)



Breaks inversion symmetry

2. Staggered flux Haldane PRL 1988

 $\Delta_{\mathrm{Haldane}}\sigma_z \tau_z$



Breaks time-reversal Quantum Hall effect 3. Spin-orbit coupling Kane and Mele PRL 1995

$$\Delta_{\rm SOC}\sigma_z \tau_z s_z$$

Preserves all symmetries Quantum spin Hall effect

Quantum spin Hall in HgTe quantum wells

Theory: Bernevig, Hughes, Zhang Science 2006 "BHZ model"





Experimental realization HgTe quantum wells

Experiment: Konig, Wiedmann, Brune, Roth, Buhmann, Molenkamp, Qi, Zhang Science 2007



Topological insulators in 3d



Topological insulators in 3d



<u>Bi_{1-x}Sb_x</u>

Band gap ~ .03eV Theory: Fu & Kane PRL 2007 Expt: Hsieh, et al, Nature 2008



<u>Bi₂Se₃</u> Band gap ~ .3eV Theory: Zhang, et al, Nat. Phys. 2009 Expt: Xia et al, Nat. Phys. 2009

Figures from Hasan & Kane RMP

What comes after time-reversal symmetry? 10-fold way classification

Ryu, Schnyder, Furusaki, Ludwig, New J. Phys. (2010)

Classified by time-reversal and charge-conjugation symmetries



(weak index not captured)